

# **ByLaw Committee Meeting Transcript**

July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2023

#### THE CHILDREN'S TRUST

### BYLAW COMMITTEE MEETING

(IN PERSON QUORUM WITH SOME VIRTUAL ATTENDANTS)

## MEETING MINUTES

The MDC Children's Trust Meeting, Bylaw Committee Meeting was held on July 20, 2023, commencing at 10:11 a.m., at 3150 Southwest 3rd Avenue, 8th Floor, Miami, Florida 33129. The meeting was called to order by Nelson Hincapie, Chair.

#### AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION



### COMMITTEE MEMBERS APPEARANCES:

NELSON HINCAPIE

NORMAN GERSTEIN

NICOLE GOMEZ

KENNETH HOFFMAN

1	STAFF MEMBER APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	JAMES HAJ	
4	IMRAN ALI	
5	JACK BENTOLILA	
6	YULIET ALFONSO	
7	JUANA LEON	
8	VICTORIA GANDUL	
9	WILLIAM KIRTLAND	
10		
11	LEGAL COUNSEL APPEARANCES:	
12		
13	LEIGH KOBRINSKI	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		l
19		l
20		
21		l
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

(Thereupon, the following proceedings commenced at 10:11 a.m.)

MR. HINCAPIE: Good morning, everybody. We have a quorum, so we'll start the meeting. Thank you very much -- Thank you for coming, for helping us -- helping the staff going through the bylaws. It's what we're here for. Before we start the meeting, let -- I'd love to have a moment of silent meditation for all the children who are suffering in this community and whose job it is for us to --. Thank you.

Do we have any public comments?

MS. LEON: There are no public comments.

MR. HINCAPIE: Thank you. So, let's dive right into it. So, if -- you'd like to get --

MR. HAJ: I'll take it over. Nelson, thank you. So, the bylaws that are in front of you, and as we mentioned at prior board meetings, this has been a year we're looking at all our -- and we did the HR handbook a couple of months ago that the board approved the bylaws. Now we're going to need a couple of months to dive into the procurement policy. So, three years ago since we approved the bylaws, there has been a lot of discussion of

committees and boards and recommendations. We've taken notes throughout the years, compiled them.

And this was sent to you earlier last week for your consideration. So, I object there's -- there's -- I don't think there's significant change in here. If you'd like to just to highlight some of the more -- changes.

MR. BENTOLILA: Thank you. So, everyone has the bylaws sent to them with you, in front of you as well. With the proposed language -- underlying language being removed and struck out. I'm going to go ahead and just jump through and highlight the areas. And I'll call out the change numbers as well so you can follow along. In Article 2 Organization of the Board Terms of Office, which is Page 1. Here they're -- we're clarifying when vacancies are filled midterm as well as serving in multiple officer positions.

So, the new language will state that a board member shall serve in an officer position to which he or she is elected for a term up to two years and may be eligible for reelection to such officer position for no more than two additional successive terms. A board member shall not serve more than six consecutive years in the same officer position

except in the event of a vacancy as provided in Article 2C, which is the next section.

Nothing is in this section should be constituted to prohibit a board member from serving in multiple officer positions for a maximum term of any such position. Notwithstanding the above, a board member's position as an officer shall not extend beyond his or her term of appointment to the board as provided in Section 125.901 of Florida statutes or the ordinance. And on Page 2, you'll see, same Article Section C where it talks about vacancy of officers.

If a vacancy should occur in any officer position, the board shall fill such vacancy at a regular special meeting as soon as reasonably possible. The vacancy establish -- establishes the president's or chief executive officer's authority to solicit nominations for each vacancy and present them to the nominating committee.

The nominating committee shall recommend a candidate to fill such vacancy in accordance with the policies -- the process in -- in Article 2A2.

The board member so elected shall serve the remainder of the unexpired term and thereafter may serve in the same office for up to three successive

two-year terms. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GERSTEIN: I have one question.

MR. BENTOLILA: Yeah.

Sorry. In Article 1, which is MR. GERSTEIN: membership, it says the trust shall consist of, and then 33 is crossed off and the other 33 is crossed off.

MR. BENTOLILA: They're just crossing off -spelling out 33. So, using a numerical 33. So, substantively, nothing has changed, 33 members. It's just -- that's a minor thing they're editing. That happens just -- yeah, 33 stays the same. Okay. So, on Page 3 and 4, so it's the pending Article Section G, the standing committee shall be as follows on number 2 where it talks Finance and Operations Committee F and G.

So, information from G was just moved up into F because it just flows properly and -- and is better understood in that area. And that's the wording at the end of F that talks about active vetting process described in F, the chief financial officer will present candidates to the Finance and Operating Committee for consideration to be recommended and retained as the auditor, and then that's the only substantive change there. The rest are just

bringing terms into alignment. And Article 3 -- on Page 5, Article 3 of meetings, Section A, regular board meetings.

Here we're replacing the terms of one regular one meeting a month to scheduled regular meetings
to allow the board more flexibility. So, number
one, we're talking scheduling, and the new wording
will state the board chair shall establish the
schedule of regular board meetings except for during
the months of August and December unless stated.
And August and December, that wording was actually
there, just being put into that one statement. I'm
going to move on to Page 7, Article 4, voting in
quorum.

So, it talks about the adoption of annual budget shall require an affirmative vote of the majority of all members then serving on the board so long as such vote is in accordance with any applicable requirements of Section 200.065 Florida statutes as may be amended, and number 3 in that same section is being added and that references a consent agenda.

So, this entire section will read, the board chair or presiding officer at a board meeting may present resolutions for the same initiative or

similar items that may be grouped in a manner that 1 allows them to be voted on as a consent agenda in a 2 3 manner that enables the board of directors to act on 4 the group of resolutions with one vote and not have 5 each resolution read and voted on separately. 6 board member shall be entitled to request an item be 7 pulled from the consent agenda and be discussed as a 8 separate resolution. 9 MR. HINCAPIE: I have a question just on this 10 specific. Can items be pulled the day of the 11 meeting, or it has to be prior to the meeting? MR. HAJ: Leigh, can you pull that portion? 12 13 MS. KOBRINSKI: Yeah. 14 MR. GERSTEIN: Are you saying --MR. HINCAPIE: You have to do it ahead of time. 15 16 MR. GERSTEIN: Are you saying pulled? 17 MR. HINCAPIE: Pulled, yeah. You can -- you 18 can pull. 19 MR. GERSTEIN: You don't have to do it ahead of 20 -- you're sending it ahead of time, but it doesn't -21 22 So, will the agenda say --MS. KOBRINSKI: 23 specifically say what's on the consent agenda so 24 that the board members know what to request to be 25 pulled?

1 MR. BENTOLILA: Once -- one -- when this is 2 approved by the board and the consent agenda would 3 be available to us, then we would be able to call out on the agenda that these items would be pulled 4 5 together for the consent. 6 MS. KOBRINSKI: Right. So, at the board 7 meeting --MR. HINCAPIE: As you can see. 8 9 MS. KOBRINSKI: -- you can request that certain 10 parts be pulled out. 11 MR. HINCAPIE: Got it. Thank you. MR. BENTOLILA: Okay. 12 Same article, Section We're updating the policy around board member 13 participation in meetings. So, number 4, I'll now 14 Once a quorum is established, a board meet 15 16 establish -- a board meeting may commence upon good 17 cause shown such as being out of town or 18 hospitalized as to why he or she cannot be present at a meeting, and at the discretion of the board or 19 20 committee chair, any board member may attend a 21 Children's Trust meeting by telephonic or video conferencing at the discretion of the board or 22 23 committee chair. 24 Such member may be allowed to speak on a 25 particular item but shall not be counted to

establish quorum and may not vote or take other 1 actions on an item. Board members who participate 2 3 in meetings in such manner will be recorded as absent in the official meeting minutes. 4 5 MR. HOFFMAN: Can we just go back to the last 6 one for a second because I just -- I've never really 7 understood it in practice. But if we do a consent 8 agenda that says that a board member is entitled to 9 requests, does that mean it automatically -- the item automatically comes out or shall be considered 10 separately, because if so, I think we should say 11 12 that -- that a board member may request any item to 13 be removed, and if a board member requests --MR. HAJ: -- Which shall be requested. 14 15 MR. HOFFMAN: -- a gap between that and whether 16 or not it's, you know, the chair or somebody else. MR. HAJ: But the last sentence doesn't make 17 clear. 18 19 MS. KOBRINSKI: We could say --20 MR. HOFFMAN: We should be entitled to request. 21 What happens when -- so I say, I request it be removed, so it gets removed? 22 23 MR. HINCAPIE: So, should we replace request 24 with have? 25 MR. KOBRINSKI: So, we can make --

1 MR. HOFFMAN: I would leave the sentence, but 2 you say it ends in such that the item will be considered separately. 3 4 MR. GERSTEIN: Right. Something like that. 5 MR. HINCAPIE: So just the fact that a board 6 member --7 Is that the intention, that any MR. HOFFMAN: 8 board member can move an item off the agenda. Is 9 that the way it works? 10 That is, it -- so the board members -MR. HAJ: 11 - there's ten resolutions and one wanting to pull 12 out an item, they basically would like to bifurcate and pull this one out, and the rest all consent to 13 14 that item. 15 MR. HINCAPIE: So -- and it does say and 16 discuss a separate resolution. 17 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, it's still following up. 18 Just the full sentence says that it can be 19 requested. It doesn't say it has to happen. 20 MS. KOBRINSKI: So, we can edit it to say any 21 board member shall be entitled to request an item to 22 be bifurcated. In such event, the item shall be 23 pulled from the consent agenda and discussed as a 24 separate resolution. 25 MR. HOFFMAN: That makes sense.

2.4

MR. HINCAPIE: Its -- again, just to make sure to understand how it works on records.

MR. GERSTEIN: Are we -- could we go back to the one about attending if you're not -- if you're out of town, you're in the hospital. I mean, in today's world, I mean, even the court is running. because They have court hearings, they -- they make decisions. Why should a board member not be able to appear on Zoom and be able to vote? What's -- what's the purpose of not allowing this? They allow it virtually everywhere.

MR. HAJ: I quess it's illegal --

MR. HINCAPIE: Yeah, it's illegal --.

MS. KOBRINSKI: So, it stems from the county code that required that members be present, and present is physically present. And so, it all kind of stems from like Sunshine regulation. So -- and there are certain county board -- there are certain state boards that are explicitly permitted to appear virtually, but the fact that the Children's Trust is not one of those boards, the statute has specifically enabled that.

We've always -- our office has provided a conservative view on the Sunshine Law and made sure that, you know, in compliance with the Sunshine Law

1 and the code requirement to be physically present 2 that you have to be present for a quorum and that 3 you can't participate virtually. Just like a board 4 5 MR. GERSTEIN: Statute or board meetings? 6 MS. KOBRINSKI: Well, so the Board of County 7 Commissioners has adopted the code that applies to all county boards generally, and this is considered 8 9 a county board, and it requires that you be present 10 for purposes of attendance. And I think that is in 11 light of Sunshine requirements. So, there's no other county board -- this is consistent with all 12 other county boards, including the Board of County 13 14 Commissioners. MR. GERSTEIN: But could the ordinance be 15 16 amended? 17 MS. KOBRINSKI: No. I mean the code; you could 18 request that the code be changed. 19 MR. HOFFMAN: Are there any -- and just as a 20 background, this predates Leigh, I've been asking 21 the same question for -- long as I cannot -- but I 22 actually came to believe during COVID and now since 23 that it's actually not a bad idea to try to 24 encourage people to come in and not just give an 25 excuse on Zoom, because it's difficult if we need it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in person or whether or not somebody can speak. And that's part of this, I think part of the statute.

So -- but being able to speak and vote is something that I thought was a good idea. I do understand that there are other -- some boards in Dade County that are able to have members speak and vote.

MS. KOBRINSKI: Well, I think the -- the only one that I'm aware of, it's the Career Source of South Florida, and that's because they have -- they specifically they have -- their state statute specifically says that they can meet by means of electronic communications, media communications. Other than that -- and I don't know that they actually do it in practice. I just know that they have a state statute that specifically permits it. But, right, I mean, I think the legal opinion we gave in 2018 relied on the county code and the presence requirement.

MR. GERSTEIN: How about the part where it says a board member -- let's say a board member does in fact appear on Zoom. They can't vote, but they're Why should they be voted as absent?

MS. KOBRINSKI: They don't count towards forum. They can't vote, they can't participate in any

official capacity. They're basically participating like any member of the public and like a Sunshine meeting. So, a Sunshine meeting can be held electronically, like when you're doing a workshop or it's just purely information gathering but you're not taking any forward action.

So, that's why this kind of extension was -- is permitted is that they're basically treating that member as if they're in a Sunshine meeting. But no, you can't take any official action at a Sunshine meeting, so you don't count towards quorum, and you can't vote.

MR. BENTOLILA: Okay. This the last section -the last number -- number 5 in that section about
each board member. Again, wording was just added
for clarity. Each board member shall have one vote
that can be exercised by the member, or for those
members who are entitled to be represented by
meetings, by designee, the member is duly appointed
designee. So, that's just clarifying not -- you
can't assign a designee unless the statute says you
can. Article 5, board attendance and page 8, here a
clarification of attendance requirements as it
pertains to elected officials, was added.

In the first paragraph the attendance hasn't

changed, again, the numbering crosses out just because of formatting, but you still have board member has three consecutive absences or a total of five absences from a regular board meeting within a fiscal year, the board chair through the clerk of the board shall divide the appointing authority of the board member's absences and request another board member be appointed to serve on the Children's Trust, or the clerk of the board shall consider an at-large member to have resigned from the board, and the board shall fill the seat through the nominating process provided herein.

This attendance requirement does not apply to the position of the mayor pursuant to the ordinance or board members who are also serving in another elected position where they are absent to attend another official meeting or legislative session that requires their presence for quorum and/or voting on behalf of their constituents. Such absences will not be counted against the above absences. I'm going to move to page 8 and 9, Article 7, general powers of the board. Again, a lot of the updates are updating language for clarity.

In number 3, tentative was replaced with proposed written annual budget. Nothing else

Laws Reporting, Inc. schedule@lawsreporting.com w

305.358.2700 www.lawsreporting.com

changed. And C, the board shall adopt flex -- at a time and a manner in accordance with Section 200.065 Florida statutes and Article 4.2. That just changed because of editing of this document, the articles. And page 9 on article 8, lobbying policy, this is -- this policy has always been in existence. It's just being put here and highlighted for board members' awareness.

So, the Children's Trust shall abide by the Miami-Dade County conflict of interest and code of ethics ordinance section 2-11.1. This includes a code of silence and language prohibiting board members from lobbying the trust for two years after separation of service. Notwithstanding the above, because the Children's Trust has a heightened conflict of interest policy that is intended to avoid the appearance of impropriety, none of the exceptions for the -- of the two-year lobbying prohibition in Section 211.1 shall be applicable to the Children's Trust staff or board members.

And then the final --, on Page 10, Article -Page 10, Article 10, the auditor, this is updating
language to allow for more flexibility to engage
higher-performing auditors. So, the board shall
appoint an external auditing firm following Section

218.391 Florida statutes as may be amended who shall report directly to the board and shall conduct an annual audit. Auditing firms will be chosen for a five-year term through a competitive process using requests for proposals.

If the finance committee selects the same auditing firm from the previous cycle, the firm's auditing team may not include the same reviewing or engagement partner and lead audit staff as in the last audit cycle. The auditing firm may not audit the trust for more than two consecutive audit cycles or ten years. And that concludes the highlights of the changes -- proposed changes for the bylaws. Any questions?

MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah, I just have one other question on the lobbying policy. On the last ten minutes, talks about -- staff or board members. I think there's only one staff member who's really even touched upon in the bylaws, it's just the present CEO. Is that really applicable to every statute or --.

MS. KOBRINSKI: Yeah, I mean, I think that it's fine to take it out here because the bylaws guide the board, and this is already in the HR of the --.

MR. HAJ: Correct. The recently approved

handbook added this language. 1 2 Right. So, that -- so I think MS. KOBRINSKI: we should remove it here and just keep this 3 4 applicable to the board members. 5 MR. HINCAPIE: What are the two-year exceptions There's a list of exceptions. 6 to the two-year --? 7 MR. BENTOLILA: The main one is that you're --8 you're allowing for a nonprofit -- you're allowing 9 the board for a non-profit --. 10 MR. HINCAPIE: So, if there is a board member 11 that works currently for a nonprofit and they are --12 they've met their -- and they are still functioning 13 and working for the nonprofit, they can't come 14 before the Trust on issues specific to the agency 15 for which they work. 16 MR. BENTOLILA: All right. So - so, the entity 17 can continue to come in front of the Trust and 18 request support. The board member can be the face making the initial -- that asked for two years. 19 20 that doesn't preclude the board member from working 21 in that organization, from assisting that organization and preparing any documents or 22 23 proposals to the Children's Trust. They just can't 24 be the -- the face of that organization making the 25 ask.

1 MR. GERSTEIN: That means we don't see concepts 2 for three years, right, except in that same --3 MR. GERSTEIN: Any other, you know --4 MR. HINCAPIE: I'm just saying -- Yeah, as an 5 example -- as an example, or other, you know, leaders of the organizations, and I think the --6 7 well, their input is key, or different things as we 8 think about what areas that we need to work on, and 9 I just --10 MR. HAJ: But we can still receive their input 11 -- their organization. 12 MS. KOBRINSKI: So long as they're not a face 13 or the representative of that entity. 14 MR. BENTOLILA: Requesting funds. They can 15 participate in workshops; they can assist in giving 16 the landscape or the marketing of a particular item 17 but just not the ask -- the final funding ask. 18 MR. HOFFMAN: Just goes to my many -- getting or 19 -- after. 20 MS. KOBRINSKI: Was this based on the 21 Commission on Ethics? 22 MR. BENTOLILA: Yes. We reached out to the Commission on Ethics when we were doing the handbook 23 24 revision as well, and they clarified because of the 25 heightened -- that level of heighten that we have,

1 that -- that would preclude that -- those 2 exceptions. MR. HINCAPIE: And I think we're just basically 3 4 approving this stage to go in front of the board so 5 that there can be more discussion at the board, 6 right? 7 The full board. MR. HAJ: MR. HINCAPIE: Yeah, in the full board. 8 9 there will be members hopefully that will, you know, 10 we'll be able to add comment or their opinions. 11 MR. HOFFMAN: So, the two years would apply if 12 we didn't have our own special exception. In other 13 words, there would be a two-year ban on lobbying. 14 MS. KOBRINSKI: That's what I was clarifying, 15 like, are we just stopping it as part of the bylaw, 16 or was that part of the code? And according to the 17 Commission of Ethics, it's part of the codes. 18 bylaws are just making it consistent with --MR. BENTOLILA: Correct. When the Commission 19 20 of Ethics makes the presentation, actually, one of the things they recommend is the two-year -- that 21 22 rule about not lobbying. And outside of our --23 minus the Children's Trust heightened level is that exception would be would still be at play, but the 24

lobbying rule would still be that.

25

MS. KOBRINSKI: Would that not increase to six 1 2 years? Like, that could be at the state level, I 3 could be wrong. MR. BENTOLILA: In the last discussion with the 4 Commission, I think it was still two for us. 5 I'm just trying to -- just trying 6 MR. HOFFMAN: 7 to think what would some of the exceptions for it be in the code that we're not taking advantage -- just 8 9 understanding that it applies. It says none of the 10 exceptions. So, the other things that we would 11 benefit from -- if, you know --MS. KOBRINSKI: So, the code says the 12 13 provisions of this subsection Q shall not apply to officials, department personnel, or employees who 14 become employed by government entities 501C3 15 16 nonprofit entities or educational institutions or entities and who lobby on behalf of such entities in 17 18 their official capacities. 19 MR. HOFFMAN: So, the exception's too broad 20 there. 21 MS. KOBRINSKI: Sorry? 22 MR. HOFFMAN: Exception at the county level is 23 just for anybody who went to a 501C3 --. MS. KOBRINSKI: Or educational institutions or 24 25 other government entities.

```
But that one doesn't -- we're not
 1
              MR. HOFFMAN:
 2
         -- we're not --.
 3
              MR. HINCAPIE: Anything else? Any other --
              MR. HAJ: No, I just -- the Judge.
 4
 5
              MR. GERSTEIN: No, I don't have a question.
                                                             Ι
         think we're done.
 6
 7
              MR. HOFFMAN: We have a motion.
              MR. HAJ: I'd like to thank -- everyone --.
 8
 9
         Thanks to Leigh and -- been doing this for the last
10
         month or so. I really appreciate their work.
11
              MR. HINCAPIE:
                             Do we need a motion to --
                             Okay. So, moved.
12
              MR. GERSTEIN:
13
              MS. GOMEZ: Second.
14
              MR. GERSTEIN: Five amended; right?
15
              MR. HINCAPIE: All those in favor say aye.
16
         (A chorus of ayes.)
17
              MR. HINCAPIE: So, I guess with that, we can
18
         adjourn.
19
              MR. HAJ:
                        Thank you, sir.
20
              MR. GERSTEIN:
                             Thank you.
21
         (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
    10:39 a.m.)
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTION
2	i e
3	The above and foregoing transcript is a true and
4	correct typed copy of the contents of the file, which was
5	digitally recorded in the proceeding identified at the
6	beginning of the transcript, to the best of my ability,
7	knowledge, and belief.
8	
9	Coresha Adams
10	Coresha Adams, Transcriber
11	August 1, 2023
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	