

Finance & Operations Committee Meeting Transcript

May 04, 2023

THE CHILDREN'S TRUST

FINANCE & OPERATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING (IN PERSON QUORUM WITH SOME VIRTUAL ATTENDANTS)

MEETING MINUTES

The MDC Children's Trust Meeting, Finance & Operations Committee Meeting was held on May 4, 2023, commencing at 9:33 a.m., at 3150 Southwest 3rd Avenue, 8th Floor, The Training Room, Miami, Florida 33129. The meeting was called to order by Mark Trowbridge, Chair.

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

CERTIFIED **ORIGINAL**

BOARD MEMBER APPEARANCES:

MARK TROWBRIDGE, Chair

MATTHEW ARSENAULT, Vice Chair

JUDGE NORMAN GERSTEIN

NELSON HINCAPIE

ANNIE NEASMAN

JAVIER REYES

ISAAC SALVER

LEIGH KOBRINSKI

1	STAFF MEMBER APPEARAN	NCES:	
2	CAROL BROGAN		
3	IMRAN ALI		
4	JACQUES BENTOLII	LA	
5	JAMES R. HAJ		
6	JUANA LEON		
7	LISANNE GAGE		
8	LORI HANSON		
9	NATALIA ZEA		
10	RACHEL SPECTOR		
11	WENDY DUNCOMBE		
12	WILLIAM KIRTLANI		
13	XIMENA NUNEZ		
14	YULIET ALFONSO		
15			
16	ALOS PRESENT:		
17	BRYTTANY STRINGE	ER	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
PROCEEDINGS
 1
 2.
         (Thereupon, the following proceedings commenced
 3
   at 9:33 a.m.)
 4
              CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Good morning,
 5
         everybody.
 6
              (Chorus of Good morning)
 7
              MR. HAJ: Good morning.
 8
              CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: -- We got a quorum.
 9
         We call the Finance and Operations Committee on
10
         Thursday, May 4th, 2023 to order. Do we have
11
         any public comments?
12
              MS. LEON: We do not have any public
13
         comment activity.
14
              CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: All right. In the
15
        package today we have the meeting minutes
16
        proposing, another form to launch 30th meeting.
17
        Really just a summary of activities. So, I
18
         don't know if you need to approve for the
19
         afternoon.
20
              MS. LEON: We do, we have that. We have
21
        March 2nd.
22
              CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: We have to have that.
23
        Very much accept the minutes presented.
              MR. SALVER: I'll start here.
24
25
              CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Thank you. All right
```

-- Your Honor. Any discussion? All right. 1 2. See, how many in favor say aye. 3 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Any opposed nay. 5 Carries the minutes. Minutes are approved. Well, first of all, I want to say it was so 6 7 great to see almost all of you at the champions -- this past week. A wonderful celebration for 8 9 our community. And Annie, I want to say 10 congratulations on your award. 11 It's just wonderful, just see you up there. 12 I'm the only one who accepted her award and 13 song. Quiet, you know, such joy as you might 14 imagine. It's everyone in the room, 15 unbelievable crowd and just so much enthusiasm and energy and so the tally, and the whole team, 16 17 it's really a great job. 18 I mean, how many of you have been going to 19 the park there for years, right? How many of 20 you have ever been in the room where it looks so 21 amazing, so joyful, but also the faces of the 2.2 Trust. 23 And not only just our honorees, but families and children that we served. And 24 25 really, just wonderful -- wonderful opportunity

2.

and the folks that come and participate and support that event. I know Nelson was there with President Diego, so many other wonderful folks.

So, the best part is the days after the event where you're standing in the hallway and someone says, oh I saw you at the luncheon last week and you're like, I mean, you know, I can't see 1,200 people, sure all this -- but it was really special.

And it just reminded me -- you know I ran into Laurie and her daughter at the pride parade and others and just, what a wonderful connection that the Trust also provides is that nexus.

So, I just want to say how wonderful it is to serve together and to be with you this morning. So, I'm going to turn it over to our CEO, Jim looking good there in two dimensions. As we begin to look at our five-year budget plan, we're going to have a presentation with Jim and Bill, and I find enough along with Enron to brief me yesterday.

And I'll also point out, to talk again a little bit about fund balance and the good work that we've done over the last year -- year-and-

1 a-half, really at the direction of this 2. committee and with the support of the Board. 3 So, Jim, take it way. 4 MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, Thank you. Good 5 seeing everybody. I'm sorry, I'm not there in 6 person. 7 So, it's that time of year, I just want to thank Bill for -- you have two charts in front 8 9 of you, he's been working since January to get 10 ready for trim discussion, getting into the 11 millage discussion as well as the trim meetings 12 of September. 13 You have two charts in front of you. One 14 is -- the top chart, the five-year cycle which 15 we developed a plan, implemented a plan, great success. But just want to look back at what the 16 17 last five-year cycle and the results. And then 18 the bottom chart is the next five year cycle. 19 And it's the projections of where we're 20 going. And again, these are projections. 21 just want to talk a little bit about timeline. 22 So, today, it's just a discussion. We will not 23 have numbers from the property appraiser until 24 June 1st. 25 I know we moved your -- the June Finance

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Committee on you for next month because we couldn't turn it around in time. The property appraiser numbers come out June 1st, our Finance Committee was scheduled for June 1st, that's why we asked you to give us a couple of days and we rescheduled to, I believe it is June 4th or 5th.

Then we'll come back in July with the final property numbers. And then that's when the Finance Committee will recommend to the Board and the Board will have to take a vote on the millage rate. And then September is a trim.

And just going back to last, the dis -something relevant, is that pretty much we're on a new five-year funding cycle, youth development the Board approved last mo -- two weeks ago. have one more in June of parenting and then all our money will be out the door for a five-year cycle.

So, really a lot of discussion today is how to support that funding for five years, as well as just, you know, right now, the property market is great, and hopefully it stays that way, but we don't know what it's going to look like, year three, four, or five.

We also don't know what the community needs

25

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

are and if you know, we have -- we're hoping to continue the fund balance. But we were there in COVID when this community needs us the most. So, we want to have that discussion too of how to -- how are we there?

There will be a natural disaster, there'll be something down the road and how we position ourselves to respond in a great manner. with that I'll turn over to Bill to really talk about the charts and anything that -- a lot of things that I missed. Go ahead, Bill.

MR. BILL: Okay, thanks Jim. As Jim said, this is primarily information that we traditionally bring in May to spark the discussion. We're preparing for our budget meetings in both June and July, which are the beginning, you know, proceedings, preparing for the trim meetings in September.

So, the schedules that we would be bringing in June and July are going to follow, you know, the mandated formats of what the budget is that we have to provide over to the stain and ultimately vote on. So, in this meeting, and we will continue to have this information available as we have the future budget discussions.

2.

Is -- we'd like to present the outcomes or what we forecast to occur in the long-term. We always like to have a five-year projection discussion just so that we can keep, you know, our basis and what our courses, and I wanted to start with just showing how we project to be completing our prior five-year cycle.

Five years ago we started this exercise. In don't know how much before that, we always kind of looked at five years as a collective like this. But we had a different set of challenges starting after this 2017, 2018 cycle came to a conclusion in a presentation we actually had to -- our youth development providers yesterday.

I was thinking about how much has changed as far as our funding commitments. Back then, I think we were looking at -- I'll just -- because I can remember the youth development numbers, it was only about \$46 million of each development investment.

And that became last cycle about \$59 million, and now we're at around \$81.2 million projected for the entire initiative. That is just one of our initiatives, is one of, you know, our flagship initiative with most of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

funding.

But that kind of gives you some perspective of, you know, the investment commitments that we're making as we go from year to year and cycle to cycle. So, our challenge last fiveyear cycle was starting with the -- with what we consider too high, a fund balance, nearly, \$70 million and we needed to drop that down.

It gave us opportunities to both make additional investments in our initiatives, but also we did not have to go back to a full half millage rate as often as we had in the past. Maybe we had about a decade or so long of consistently adopting the half millage rate and we were able to adopt the rollback rate more often than we had pre -- in our history, last five-year cycle.

That's something we would like to replicate going into even this upcoming five-year cycle, looking for opportunities to make sure that we have enough funding in place for our programs. But then maybe in the interim years of the -- or in the middle years of our five-year cycle, look for opportunities just to adopt a rollback rate where we can.

2.

So essentially, you can see transitioning from the first five-year cycle to what we are projecting is we think we are going to conclude this year the one that we're currently in, anywhere around \$47 million. That's our forecast right now with several months left of our current fiscal year.

We don't project that that should -- we should deviate materially from that number. We think that our forecasting number has gotten more and more accurate as this cycle has done a lot, that's the benefit of having an extended cycle and experience with our programs is more accurate knowledge of the contract performance.

So, what we presented is two different scenarios. As you can see, if you're like Isaac, you can maybe get the figure chart to follow on page 2. And so you can see it a little bit more clearly or you can just zoom it on your iPads with your fingertips, make it bigger, is that we have, as we normally do, bring at least two scenarios, one including the rollback rate.

Another one with what we're also presenting is the half millage rate. Half millage rate, of

Laws Reporting, Inc.

schedule@lawsreporting.com

305.358.2700 www.lawsreporting.com

2.

2.0

course, does yield a tax increase of notification to the public, whereas the rollback rate does not is sustained level of funding if the equivalent to the revenue that we brought in last year.

However, they do have two different outcomes in perspective with our goal as an organization to consider what we consider a safe fund balance reserve. It's anywhere around the high 30 around like \$38 million.

And the rollback rate would temporarily bring us below that mark. And whereas the half millage rate will also bring our fund balance down, it won't bring us all the way down to what we consider to be the floor, which is again 15 percent of our total operating expenditures.

That is a best practice that we follow established by the GFOA. Essentially, so the model carrying out the rest of the five years, and this could be of course what's discussed and will, you know, be massaged as we go throughout the years, is that, we replicated the millage strategies throughout the rest of the five years.

That's why they're mirror images of each

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

So, there are options about what millage other. rates and may be later years to adopt. But as you can see in the 23, 24 year, that's where we can sit -- where we create the split and for now, deciding what would be the best course of action as far as fund balance management and considering and our total investments coming for next year. So, I think at this point I'll just allow the committee members now to start discussion. CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Great. How about

some questions? Any thoughts you're looking at, obviously, the next five years we're going too much of work we're doing in terms of our fund balance release or remains surrounding cycle.

MR. SALVER: Remind me and, I guess, for the benefit of those that don't remember what is the number, that would equate to two months of overhead? What is that number -- yeah, what is that number? Because that's, I think we adopted that decades ago as what our fund balance should be, two months of operating expense.

CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Right.

MR. SALVER: So, what is that number?

25

1	CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: So, you would like to
2	know the actual number, is
3	MR. SALVER: What's the number that we've
4	been using?
5	MR. BILL: The rule thumb has been 15
6	percent of total operating budget. So, if we
7	were saying, what is that number this year, in
8	our current year adopted budget, that would be
9	15 percent of
10	MR. KIRTLAND: It's the doted line on the
11	chart.
12	MR. BILL: Exactly. It's the dot line on
13	the chart. But just in case that we're
14	interested in the actual numbers, it would be 15
15	percent of \$190.7 million. And that's in our
16	current adopted budget this fiscal year 23. So,
17	that number is \$36.7 million this year.
18	MR. SALVER: Wait. You said our budget is
19	197 million. So, is it 15 percent of that?
20	MR. BILL: Yes.
21	
22	MR. SALVER: That's 30 million bucks, \$28
23	million. And, you know, I just want to say
24	since, you know, I deal a lot with, you know,
25	the property appraiser's office and looking at

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

real estate values, they're not going down, that I can guarantee you. There's going to be a significant increase in the Dade County tax.

And next for sure, the -- you know, the appraiser's office is getting caught up with all their -- you know, with all their hearings about evaluation hearings or they're -- you know, they're -- you know, they've closed that gap, it used to be an 18 month gap.

You know, now it's like, you know, you file a petition and you know, they're hearing those arguments within three or four months. So, you know, whatever we get on June 1st is going to be a large number, and it's going to be significantly higher than it was last -- .

So, I'm -- you know, I'm saying all of this is as a predicate, you know, my typical position we got -- you know, if we got to rollback rate, you know, that puts us at 197 million a deal to We should definitely go with that. us.

And not only does that and, you know, allow us to say, hey, we didn't raise taxes while doing the same or more programs, but it will also give us back that margin that we could tap into, like Jim said, in case we need to react to

1 some type of catastrophic event or just, you 2. know, change the game on some level with, you 3 know, an opportunity that arises. 4 That's, you know, that's my feeling. 5 MR. TROWBRIDGE: -- Other thoughts? the person, I remember a conversation regarding 6 this convo or this topic. 7 MR. ARSENAULT: Yeah, I think, you know, I 8 really, really think it's a solid process. 9 10 Looking back to the success if you go to the 11 first chart, right? You all had a plan, right? 12 And I think executed that plan successfully with 13 regard to, Hey, here's sort of our baseline of 14 where we need to have this fund balance. 15 And right, understanding, right, the -- if I'm understanding the chart correctly at the 16 17 bottom, it's this idea, Well, here's a potential 18 path of what future tax rates during the funding 19 cycle will look like to keep us right around 20 that area. So, I think that that's a smart way 21 to look at it. 22 And I think, depending on the kind of numbers that we see, right, I believe, right, 23 24 that the smart thing to do is not get below that 25 projection for next year. So, you know, as part

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of that process, I just think it's a good process, good way to look at it, and we'll see where we are with regard to the numbers. I think, you know, that can always relate to that.

I don't know, those of you that are closer to the commercial real estate market, Isaac, with regard to how that is intended to play out because I know that's a big area of concern in a lot of areas. So, I don't know how that would potentially impact potential revenue, right? mean, residential, commercial, I mean it's just completely different worlds right now as it relates to that.

So, the plan I believe is sound, and let's see where the numbers shake out. But I'm in support of the approach.

MR. SALVER: Right. And then you just, you know, I did a new math. I don't think it's 15 percent of our gross budget. If that's the case, then the dotted line is wrong. But it looks like it's closer to taking a hun -- unless we're using the wrong number, 197 million. using 197 million, what I did was I divided it by 12 and multiplied that by two, and that came out a lot closer to what you have here. But the

1 dotted line is still above the number that I 2. gave you. 3 MR. KIRTLAND: Usually what I do in 4 preparing for the fund balance, actually, with 5 in respect to the dotted line is making sure that we're prepared for the ending, the year 6 7 with the fund balance that supports the next year's, as expected, adopted budget. 8 9 So, if we know we're going to have a budget 10 increase next year, and even in the prior year, 11 to try to start preparing for making sure at the 12 end of the conclusion that fiscal year that we 13 have enough in place to support an expanded 14 budget next year. 15 So I -- usually the 15 percent is adopted 16 to what we expect the next year's budget being. 17 I don't know if that made any difference. Maybe 18 in a calculation --19 MR. SALVER: Let me ask you a question. 2.0 Historically, we don't spend all of our 21 earmarked fund, right? Can you give me a 22 percentage, more or less, if we've done grants 23 of -- let's say if our total budget is 197, 24 let's say --25 MR. KIRTLAND: Okay.

1	MR. SALVER: grants let's say grants
2	of 160 million bucks. How much, you know, what
3	is the percentage of the amount of money that
4	was spent versus the amount of money that was
5	granted?
6	MR. KIRTLAND: Under-utilization last year
7	was 8.6 percent of the total budget.
8	MR. ARSENAULT: How much?
9	MR. SALVER: 8.6.
10	MR. KIRTLAND: 8.6 percent
11	MR. SALVER: Under utilized.
12	MR. KIRTLAND: Now, that might not all be
13	attributable to the grants and the contracts,
14	but that's the total budget performance. And
15	most of what we fund is in the contracts.
16	That was one of our best years in the cycle
17	so far. So, we're hoping that we see that's
18	one of the benefits of the five-year cycle is
19	the improvement of the performance. The year
20	the cycle started out with 14.6 percent under-
21	utilization the first year, and it gradually had
22	a slope all the way to 8.4 percent. Sorry, 8.6
23	percent; 8.6.
24	MR. SALVER: So given that, you know, we've
25	been on a five-year cycle, I guess this is

1 almost -- this is probably the second time we've 2. done a five-year cycle, right? MR. KIRTLAND: We're discussing our second 3 4 five-year cycle, the one we're going into... 5 MR. SALVER: Now, you know, how does this affect organizations, or you know, potential 6 7 providers that try to, you know, become providers that are not providers now? And, you 8 9 know, there are organizations out there that I'm 10 sure would love to be partners with the 11 Children's Trust. How difficult is this, you 12 know, the fact that we're on a five-year funding 13 cycle, does it make it, for organizations that 14 are on the outside of our circle? 15 MR. KIRTLAND: Jim, do you want me to 16 answer the question? 17 MR. HAJ: Let me jump in. No. Isaac, good 18 question. We talked about that when we shifted. 19 That was a huge discussion when we shifted from 2.0 the three to five-year cycle, is what are we 21 going to do for those organizations? You know, 22 a five-year may be a long wait for some people 23 who have not been able to get in. 24 We positioned ourself last cycle at mid-25 cycle. If we do see a big need, or requests

2.

that we can be able to pivot, we really didn't see it. This cycle when we released, I think 95 percent of the organization that applied that could receive and that scored well, received funding.

We really didn't have people that we turned away that had the ability to consume trust funds. So, we will continue to monitor that.

We still have our small CBO going out the door for the -- that'll be coming in front of you in June, for those small organizations, or in two-year grants with innovation fund that comes out every other year.

And we have their smaller funds for organizations out there as well, but we really haven't seen the need. And if it is, it's something we discussed that we will come back to the Board mid-cycle to see if there's an RP or something that we need to do.

MR. KIRTLAND: Excellent. Can I provide one reminder?

Isaac, as you said something earlier, I think that may be in previous meetings I needed to say before is despite what the property values are estimated to be when we received the

1	June assessment and the July finalization, that
2	has an effect on the fixed rates that we
3	discussed, like a half millage rate.
4	So, the values that I've estimated and
5	we're talking about applying a half millage
6	rate, perhaps the tax revenue, if we were to
7	apply that rate, will come in much higher
8	because the total value of the properties will
9	come in much higher.
10	But in the case of a rollback rate, the
11	effect on the fund balance will be the same,
12	right? Like that rate just moves
13	MR. SALVER: That moves up to where
14	right where
15	MR. KIRTLAND: It's equivalent to revenue.
16	MR. SALVER: It freezes us in time.
17	MR. KIRTLAND: Right. So, on the chart,
18	basically the orange line that you're looking at
19	would remain where it is. And then, maybe your
20	green line would, you know, be much higher
21	depending on the percentage, you know, over this
22	initial forecast.
23	MR. ARSENAULT: This may be a dumb
24	question. Is there absolutely any rationale
25	between some rate in-between or is that just

```
1
         potentially confusing to the taxpayer with that?
         Right? Is it half mill a rollback and nothing
 2.
         in between?
 3
 4
              MR. SALVER: No, it's absolutely --
 5
              MR. ARSENAULT: -- in there.
 6
              MR. SALVER: Yeah. We -- you know, that,
 7
         you know, we can't go above by, you know, five
         mills, but, you know, we could even go lower
 8
 9
         than the rollback rate, theoretically.
              MR. ARSENAULT:
10
                              Right.
11
              MR. SALVER: So we can do anything we want
12
         up to --
13
              MR. ARSENAULT: But if --
14
              MR. SALVER: -- up to the maximum.
15
              MR. ARSENAULT: -- if it's above the roll -
         - if it's the roll -- any amount above the
16
17
         rollback rate we have to make -- it's considered
18
         taxing grace.
19
              MR. SALVER:
                           That's correct. Exactly.
         That's the roll. That's the roll. You see,
2.0
21
         even if -- you know, if it's like -- let's say
         4.2 is the rollback rate --
2.2
23
              MR. ARSENAULT: It's a tax.
24
              MR. SALVER: If we do 4.25, we advertise
25
         that we raise tax.
```

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. ARSENAULT: Yep. Understood.

> MR. KIRTLAND: I would say maybe what would guide the discussion, right, in the discussion of any middle-tier rate between the rollback rate and the half millage is, what's the, I guess, tolerance or the interests of the committee to have flexibility above what we are trying to consider our floor if the unexpected happens, as Jim --

MR. ARSENAULT: : Right.

MR. KIRTLAND: -- alluded to. So, right now, maybe if you adopted a rate in between rollback and half millage, you might meet at equilibrium of our dotted line. But then in the preceding years, you might not be as reactive to anything.

MR. ARSENAULT: : I really, I think it comes down to whether, as a committee, right, essentially that dotted line, we want to consider that as a floor that we should never be below, right? Because if that's the case, right, then, right, you have to be more conservative and in a half mill or rig like that, and then when you're comfortable that the rollback rate will keep us above that floor,

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

that's when we move to a rollback rate.

I mean that but that's whether we agree that it is, in fact, the floor or just a general target that you should be close to or near. that's something I think we would discuss at the future meeting.

MR. SALVER: You know, what William emphasized is that, you know, the rollback rate makes it kind of easy for everybody involved because the rollback rate puts you exactly where you want to land. So, you know, there's not a lot of moving parts to that. Here's the rollback rate and then -- use last year's spreadsheet and just change the date on top.

MR. HAJ: Right. But I think we're saying right now is if we consider that a floor, if that's sort of the -- if the committee recommends that that dotted line is the floor, then, you know, we would not recommend a rollback rate because we're confident that it will put us below that floor, right? So -- but again, that it all depends on just whether you consider that a hard line or not.

MR. KIRTLAND: And just considering that our expenditure commitments going into the new

305.358.2700 Laws Reporting, Inc. schedule@lawsreporting.com www.lawsreporting.com

cycle are completely new and different compared 1 2. to the revenue that we adopted last year. 3 MR. SALVER: Right. And then we --4 honestly, we have the potential to do more and 5 grow the proper way and put more money on the streets, you know, for the children of the 6 7 accounting, that's what our raison d'être is. You know, we want to spend money at home. 8 9 And if we can make, you know, I remember, you 10 know, I guess the judge and I had served 11 alongside of David Lawrence, actually. 12 MR. KIRTLAND: We did. 13 MR. SALVER: And he, you know, he used to 14 give us short but incredibly powerful diatribes 15 on, you know, how this organization could move the needle on whether the literacy or help and, 16 17 you know, help of children, you know, parenting, 18 et cetera, et cetera. So, I mean, if we have 19 the opportunity to do that, I would always 20 support that. 21 Thank you, Isaac. MR. KIRTLAND: Nelson? 22 MR. HINCAPIE: And Mark, to your point of 23 view --24 CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: The question for me 25 is how much have we done to move the needle?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are, you know, are reading levels improving? Are kids graduating? Are -- and -- so that's -we've been around for 20 years and I know that you guys -- but it took us forever to get a memorandum of understanding with the school district to be able to look at data.

So, to me is, are we really better off than we were? I mean, are -- can we honestly look at what we've done and can we honestly look at the communities that we serve, and can we say, Yes, you know, all children are reading at third grade -- all the children in third grade are reading at reading level. I mean, at their reading level.

And if that's the case, then that should mean that graduation rates are improving. when you go and look at the data, I would venture to say, I believe that's not the case. High school graduation grades are dropping in Miami-Dade County.

So, I mean, if -- and I know that the, you know, the pandemic had a huge chilling effect on all of that. So, in effect, that's something to look at it. But again, it would be, you know, looking -- to your point, Isaac, is how do we

Laws Reporting, Inc.

schedule@lawsreporting.com

305.358.2700

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

move the needle?

MS. NEASMAN: So, you know, I guess that's why I so appreciate Lori, and her cleaning, and what she's done as far as evaluation because they have clearly showed us that the programs that The Trust is funding has moved the needles -- has moved the needle.

So, I hear your concern. It may not be to the extent that we would like it across all communities, but certainly for the ones I think that The Trust funds those programs, we can definitely see through the evaluation efforts.

And Jim and his team, and Lori, they do a stringent evaluation process for this program. So, globally, we still have a lot of work to do, but for the 197 million that is being controlled by this group, the Children's Trust, we could say that has happened in the last 20 years.

CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: So it makes it a lot -- it makes the conversation, at least for me, it makes the conversation a lot easier in terms of what you're asking.

MR. SALVER: Well, you're an elder statesman in this -- on this committee. You know --

24

25

CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: I don't know what he 1 2. means by that. MR. SALVER: I have an idea of what--3 4 Look i am not -- am I any type of counselor 5 or anything like that. So, I can't comment on, you know, the graduation rates because I'm not 6 7 familiar. But I can comment on the fact that The Trust, you know, as our budget has grown, 8 9 you know, we invest, I would say in the multi-10 million dollars in researching and statistics on 11 whether we're making an impact or where we need 12 to make a bigger impact. 13 So, I -- you know, and I think, you know, 14 we're, you know, we're pulling in dollars to 15 find out how we are doing. So -- and I'm presuming that, you know, with the staff, with 16 Lori and with Jim, you know, Bill, and all the 17 18 other professionals, you know, that are working here --19 20 You know, I'm hoping and praying that 21 they're guiding us, you know, in the right 22 direction where, you know, we can make the proper investments, you know, to keep on moving

the needle because we definitely haven't moved

the needle. Even if it means, you know, a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

couple of city buses going by with The Children's Trust load on.

And I know, you know, there's an impact in the community, period. There's an impact on the community, and I think it's felt in a com -- you know, all along the cross section from every population, you know? Because I know my daughter-in-law is a teacher in a private school. And she says, yes, you know, we have kids that are getting a book of the month, or a few books a month that they're reading, you know, to the little kids.

And, you know, I know that people, you know, when I'm on -- you know, when I'm on the streets, and at events, and everything like that, people are going, oh, you're on the -you're a member of The Children's Trust. You're on the board. The Children's Trust is the greatest thing since sliced bread. I mean, you know, Haitian community, Hispanic community, ev -- you know, every community, you know, we've made an impact.

And, you know, yes, you know, let's, you know, keep on, you know, taking the temperature of the community. Let's keep on getting

1 statistics. You know, help us, you know, the 2. county is going to help us help you. And -but, you know, I think overall I think we are --3 4 you know, we are moving in a -- . Your Honor? 5 MR. KIRTLAND: Speaking to his point as one of the elder statesmen, you know, having been at 6 7 the trust when it first started, I mean, the impact is enormous. It's not just looking at 8 9 reading scores, or looking at graduation rates, 10 because you remember that we do so much more 11 from dental to eyeglasses to nutrition to 12 general health to camps in the summer. 13 So, all the indicators in Miami that tell 14 you how we're doing from crime, drugs, domestic 15 violence, all of our programs are impacting all 16 of those areas. We may not have been able to 17 quantify exactly how much of an impact, but I 18 can see the impact, Committee can see the impact. And so we touch all of those areas. 19 20 I agree. And, you know, Ms. Hanson's 21 fabulous at the research, and one day we're 22 going to be able to quantify exactly what the 23 money has done, but it's clear that it does make 24 an enormous difference, because before the 25 trust, we were in deep trouble.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Ms. Hanson?

May 04, 2023

MS. HANSON: If I could just make a shameless plug to our annual report this year which has a special 20-year insert section for those data -- community data that were available over a 20-year trend, which, you know, sometimes data points go away or change measures, so we don't have everything that we -- that I would like to have. But we had a number of indicators that we were able to look at the 20-year trend since the trust has been in place.

And although, I would agree with Nelson, you know, the current up -- first, last year or two, the trends are going in the wrong direction, right? With the -- and we know that's the effect of the pandemic, but other -before that, the 20 years, were pretty much trending in the right direction.

Again, to Ms. Neasman's point, as fast as we would like, you know? Are there still disparities? Yes, there are still disparities. Things maybe aren't moving as fast as we would might, but for sure, trends have been going in the right direction for pre-teen pregnancies, for graduation rates, for insurance coverage,

25

1 for, you know, all -- a lot of different indicators of things that -- and then the other point of the less shameless plug that I would 3 4 say about that is that even though it sounds 5 like a lot of money, \$197 million is a drop in the bucket in the community our size. 6 7 And so, the only way that we can make those contributions to moving the needle is through 8 collective impact, and the partnership that we 9 10 have with all -- and the respect, I think, that 11 we have with all the other entities in our 12 community -- you know, respect as a leader in 13 children's and family services. 14 But also then to gather together and 15 garner, you know, the health department working with us on things, or the school system working 16 17 with us on things, and the county working with 18 us on things because that's how you get the mass 19 -- amass the resource -- right? That's needed 20 to make the community level change. 21 MR. HINCAPIE: Mark, can I ask her a --22 question? 23 CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Please. 2.4 MR. HINCAPIE: I know that Nelson had

mentioned the -- no, I'm not going to s -- use

2.

2.0

2.4

the word reluctance, but the -- you know, the difficulty that we had with the MOU with the school board. That's all been passed now, right? -- how does this kid -- or his -- are there are still, you know, roadblocks, impediments set up, you know, with the flow of information between the school board and the trust?

MS. HANSON: We have agreements in place now, a couple different ways. So, with our Miami IDEAS Consortium, which is focused on early childhood, we actually have an agreement where, UM can integrate data across the school system, the trust, the ELC, and the county Head Start / Early Head Start programming. And under UM, they also have the Chris data system, which the early intervention service that happen to be part C through the IDEA funding.

So that was something though, that major legal agreements. Lee (phonetic) was our partner in helping, you know, shepherd those through. That is the time-consuming process to get legal agreements and large systems.

But we have a partnership in place. We have the willingness, and it's just making sure

1 that we mine up those agreements. Separately, 2. the trust and the district work together under 3 the purview of the district's research review 4 process. 5 So, they have a way that researchers can go to them and propose research projects and joint 6 7 projects. And the Summer 305 collaboration that we have with them, where they place their 8 9 teachers in our summer camps, really helped 10 reach those -- put us on an expedited approval 11 for our research review because of the 12 partnership that they have a vested interest in 13 knowing how it's doing as well as us, right? 14 So we've been -- that's a year by year. 15 You know, we renew each year. We request the 16 data, and get it sort of on a once a year. 17 So, it's not like we have just sort of a 18 data exchange set up that's real time. But once 19 a year we're able to get our data set matched to 2.0 our kids as well as comparison kids that are not

-- well, we don't -- they're not in our programs, so we don't know what other programs they have. So -- but that'll at least allows us to have that data to do the analysis.

MR. HINCAPIE: Would it be -- I mean, I

25

21

22

23

24

1 would think it would be more practical to have 2. some type of rolling, you know, information 3 exchange --4 MS. HANSON: Yes. 5 MR. HINCAPIE: -- that doesn't exist. MS. HANSON: That rolling has not been 6 7 something we've been able to achieve for, you know, just concerns about FERPA and privacy, 8 9 and data protections, and stuff like that. 10 we -- our workaround has been to use the 11 research review process. 12 Now separately, I will say I should mention 13 the school health agreement. There is a MOU 14 agreement -- is it called an MOU? -- the inter-15 local agreement between the district, the health 16 department, and the trust. 17 And in that case, that is real -- so those 18 nurses that work in the schools, you know, their 19 data system is populated with all the students. 2.0 They only have access to the students in their 21 school. But on a regular basis, the demographic information about the students in each school 22 are there, so that if a kid walks in the clinic, 23 24 the nurse can pull up that kids info and see, 25 you know, oh, they were just here, you know, two

```
weeks ago for this issue.
 1
 2.
              You know, they -- so they have that. And
         if the kid moves schools, that also happens.
 3
 4
         You know, the record goes with the kid to the
 5
         new school. So, for health purposes we have a
         different inter-local agreement that allows that
 6
 7
         more real time.
              MR. HINCAPIE: Right. Right. Right.
 8
              MS. HANSON: Yes.
 9
10
              MR. HINCAPIE: But regarding education,
         like scores --
11
12
              MS. HANSON: Yeah.
13
              MR. HINCAPIE: -- math, and reading, and
14
         that kind of stuff --
15
              MS. HANSON: Yeah.
16
              MR. HINCAPIE: That --
17
              MS. HANSON: That's more on the -- like, we
18
         do it kind of on a yearly basis.
19
              MR. HINCAPIE: All right. That's a goal
20
         that we have to work on, you know, because
21
         there's a lot of, you know, political power, I
         think, that the folks on the trust -- we can --
22
         if there's something that is, you know,
23
24
         untapped, information that we can get without
25
         violating FERPA, all those types of things,
```

1 maybe we can work on that because that's really 2. important. That'll help us really understand what the direction of the county's supposed to 3 4 go. 5 CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: All right. Great 6 discussion. Anything else you guys want to 7 discuss related to the five year market plan? As I mentioned, we will have several bites of 8 9 this apples. Let's continue the great 10 dialogue. We do have one resolution for 11 consideration today by the committee, and it's 12 Resolution 2023-A. 13 The authorization to negotiate an executed 14 contract with the Center for Social Change, 15 Inc., for match funding for the Community Based 16 Care Alliance of Miami-Dade County (CBC 17 Alliance), in the total amount not to exceed 18 \$64,000 for a term of 12 months, commencing October 1, 2023 and ending September 30th, 2024. 19 2.0 Our motion to approve is --21 MR. SALVER: I'll move it. 2.2 CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Thank you. We have 23 first and a second. Are there any recusals? 2.4 MR. HINCAPIE: Hincapie. I work for Miami-25 Dade, County.

1 CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Thank you, Nelson. 2. Any others? All right. We'll move into discussion 3 then. We've been involved in this program, as 4 5 you can see in the data on page 4, since 2007 providing the annual funding to the CBC 6 7 Alliance. We support staff and operational costs. If you read a little further down on the 8 9 page, you see the other opportunities that we 10 have by working together under the CBC Alliance. Again, we are part of the matching program. 11 12 Any other discussion? Seeing none, move to 13 a vote. All in favor say aye. 14 MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE: Aye. 15 CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Any opposed, nay. 16 Resolution is approved. We'll bring it to the 17 Board. Now we have the CEOs Report. I'll turn 18 it back over to Jim Haj, the CEO. Jim? 19 MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, thank you. A couple 2.0 of items. As you're aware, we're changing 21 auditors, and RFP was out. We just want to -we're still under a code of silence. We will be 22 23 bringing back the recommendation in June. 24 We received two applicants. We would like 25 to have more, but we had two applicants.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

just wanted to make sure that we checked with the State, and we checked with our attorneys, and we are on path to bring a recommendation with the two applicants that'll come to you in June.

The monthly financial statements were attached. And we have three events coming up, Youth Advisory Committee Service Project Showcase on Saturday, May 13. I know many of you attended in the past.

Our YAC students have been working all year on a service project, and this is the culminating activity. If you can join us, please do so -- Young Talent Big Dreams Finals at the Miracle Theater on May 13.

And then we have -- it's not on here, but we have a Board field trip that was advertised. It's on your calendars, Friday, May 19th. Ι know it's an evening. It's at Miami Dade Kendall College. And it's a Mexican American Council, American Farm Workers student recognition ceremony.

And these are a lot of the migrant students down south who will be graduating from high school the first time in their family -- first

305.358.2700 Laws Reporting, Inc. schedule@lawsreporting.com www.lawsreporting.com

2.

child in the family to graduate from high school. And it's an amazing event. I've attended several times in the past. And if you can make it, we're -- we will send out an e-mail tomorrow if you're going to RSVP. It's a really moving event.

And just finally, we had a youth development the Board approved a couple of weeks ago, the youth development contracts. We had our on-boarding session at UM yesterday with over 300 in attendance, making sure the on-boarding process and the contracting process goes well, and that we set ourselves up for the next five years to have what this committee talked about, a great impact.

How do we work together with our 170, 180 providers to impact the 36,000 kids? And Nelson, going to your point, I think one thing we do talk about data but it's also 36,000 kids off the street. That's 36,000 kids in our summer programs and, our after-school programs. And it's hard to measure what you also have prevented.

So, I'm very proud of the work that we are -- that the trust has done to get to this point.

Laws Reporting, Inc. 30 schedule@lawsreporting.com www

305.358.2700 www.lawsreporting.com

Finance Operations May 04, 2023 1 And yesterday's meeting was phenomenal with the 2. providers on board. A lot of comments from the providers, it's the best on-boarding session 3 4 they've ever had with the trust. It was a 5 really great event. So, all the staff who made that happen 6 7 yesterday, that was a lot of work, truly appreciate that. And I think that's it, Mr. 8 9 Chair. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN TROWBRIDGE: Thank you. And Jim, 11 just going back to bullet point 1, obviously 12 under the statute we're required to change 13 auditors every five years, and I appreciate the

just going back to bullet point 1, obviously under the statute we're required to change auditors every five years, and I appreciate the extra layer of due diligence that was done to make sure even with only two bids, that we're able to move forward. I know it's difficult to get -- affirm that it meets all the requirements that we have, and I know that's something that's been part of our process since day one.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you very much. Is there anything else for the good of the order?

All right. And just make note again, that the next committee meeting is Monday, June 5th. As you heard from earlier conversation, we have it a few days later because of the publishing

```
1
         data -- no-- to put that into their formula.
 2
              So, just make note of that. I think it's
 3
         at our normal times, correct? At 09:30 on
 4
         Monday morning, so set the week together. Okay.
 5
         Wonderful. All right. Without objection, we'll
         stand adjourned. Thank you all very much.
 6
 7
         Great job.
 8
         (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at 10:16
9
   a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTION
2	
3	The above and foregoing transcript is a true and
4	correct typed copy of the contents of the file, which was
5	digitally recorded in the proceeding identified at the
6	beginning of the transcript, to the best of my ability,
7	knowledge and belief.
8	\bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 0 0
9	Cecil Clark
LO	Cecil Clark, Transcriber
L1	February 15, 2023
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	